

**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Moore & Hansen
2900 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 332-8200
(612) 332-1780 fax

DATE: November 13, 2002

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER PAGE: 2

FROM: John D. Kramm

TO: Commissioner For Patents

LOCATION: Trademark Examining Operation

ART UNIT: 3673

EXAMINING ATTORNEY: Frederick D. Conley

FACSIMILE NUMBER: (703) 305-3597

APPLICATION NO.: 09/805,539

PAPERS: Telephonic Examiner Interview Statement

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT ME AT THE
NUMBER ABOVE.**

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION 37 C.F.R. § 1.10

I hereby certify that this paper for Patent Application Serial No. 09/805,539 is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office fax number (703) 305-3597 on November 13, 2002.

Name of Person Signing Certificate: April D. Kaplan

Signature: April D. Kaplan Date of Signing: November 13, 2002

Practitioner's Docket No. D15-003-02-US

PATENT #5

JP
11/20/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of: Deering, et al.

Application No: 09/805,539
Filed: March 13, 2001
For: PILLOW BLANKETGroup No.: 3673
Examiner: Fredrick ConleyAssistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

FAX RECEIVED

NOV 13 2002

Telephonic Examiner Interview Statement
37 C.F.R. 1.133(b) MPEP § 713.01

GROUP 3600

A telephonic examiner interview was conducted on November 5, 2002, between the Applicants representative and the Examiner. The substance of the interview for the written record is as follows:

1. A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted:
None.
2. The claims discussed:
Claims 5, 1, and new claim 13.
3. The specific prior work discussed:
Earhart U.S. Patent No. 3,919,721, Aikins U.S. Patent No. 6,219,847, Rudy U.S. Patent No. 5,245,717, and Newhaller Des. 417,767.
4. The principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed: None.
5. The general thrust of the principal arguments of the Applicant and the Examiner:
Applicant argued the novelty of the oblong sleeve apertures limitation over all the prior work.
Applicant also pointed out the oblique orientation relative to the horizontal center line.
6. Any other pertinent matters discussed: None.
7. The general results or outcome of the interview:
The Examiner is taking the matter under advisement.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8 (a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: November 13, 2002

FACSIMILE

X Transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office

April D. Kaplan
Signature
April D. Kaplan
type or print name of person certifying